#### DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 163 497

CS 204 569

AUTHOR TITLE

Elias, Stephen N.

American Newspaper Editorials of the Vietnam War: An.

Experimental Approach to Editorial Content

Analysis.

PUB DATE

Aug 78

NOTE

33p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the. Association for Education in Journalism (61st,

Seattle, Washington, August 13-16, 1978)

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83, HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

Changing Attitudes: \*Content Analysis: \*Editorials: ' Journalism: \*Newspapers; Political Attitudes; \*Press

Opinion: War

IDENTIFIERS

\*Vietnam War

ABSTRACT

The editorials about four Vietnam war news events that appeared in five newspapers were examined for content, tone, page placement, and length to discover what trends in editorial coverage occurred. The 131 editorials that were examined appeared in the "New York Times," the "Los Angeles Times," the "Wall Street . Journal," the "Chicago Tribune," and the "Washington Post" within 21 days of the following news events: the Tonkin Gulf incident, the 1968 Tet Offensive, President Nixon's 1969 "Vietnamization" announcement, and the fall of Saigon. Pive major findings resulted from the content analysis: (1) The overall tone of the editorials was antiwar. (2) During the periods studied, the "New York Times" was consistently antiwar while the "Wall Street Journal" trended from antiwar to prowar and the other three newspapers trended from prowar to antiwar. (3) The newspapers were willing to take definite stands during the periods under study. (4) The paper that most clearly appeared to have changed its editorial opinion was the "Los.Angeles Times." (5) The "New York Times" and the "Washington Post," both generally prolific in their editorials throughout the periods studied, inexplicably presented fewer items on the Tet Offensive than the other papers. The study also established the usefulness of the basic "Statistical Package for the Social Sciences" (SPSS) in newspaper content analysis. (RL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN. ATING IT, POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY Theory and Methodology

AMERICAN NEWSPAPER EDITORIALS
ON THE VIETNAM WAR: AN
EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH TO
EDITORIAL CONTENT ANALYSIS

Ву

Stephen N. Elias Graduate Student

California State University, Northridge

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Stephen N. Elias.

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM "

Presented to the Theory and Methodology Division, Association for Education in Journalism Annual Convention, Seattle, Washington, August, 1978.

The author wishes to acknowledge the guidance of Dr. Tom Reilly, Department of Journalism, California State University, Northridge, in the research and preparation of this study.

#### Introduction

"Some of the correspondents who were there at the end wrote books about it, but I was not among them." The group to which I belonged was so personally traumatized that we have never written a line about Indochina since May 1, 1975. "1 Malcolm Browne, winner of the Pulitzer Prize in 1964 for his coverage of the Vietnam war for the Associated Press, wrote this harsh commentary. It is representative of the many mixed reactions to the performance of the American press during the Vietnam war. Just as harsh have been some of the charges leveled against the American news media in conflection with coverage of the war. While such charges may, in fact, be founded on emotional or political premises, the journalistic profession should respond to them with a sound appraisal of its actions during the charged period of American military involvement in Southeast Asia. Such an appraisal—to successfully evaluate criticism directed against the media—should be based on an objective methodology—one which cannot be construed as merely a self-serving strategy of defensiveness.

The present study was designed to test one such methodology. While limited in scope, it nevertheless points out a direction which others might follow. The purposes of the study were (1) to seek trends in editorials of / selected American newspapers regarding the Vietnam war; (2) to test the applicability of the basic Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) to this type of research; (3) to design a model for similar future studies using SPSS that could be accomplished by non-technically oriented individuals who, nevertheless, desifed to use the computer as an aid.

## Methodology

This study is a computer-aided analysis of the editorial content of selected American newspapers during the period of this country's most active

involvement in Vietnam, 1964 to 1975. Using quantitative methods, it traces and examines editorial trends as they developed from generally pro-war at the time of the North Vietnamese attack on the destroyer Maddox in the Tonkin.

Gulf (1964) to almost universally anti-war when Saigon was abandoned in 1975. The editorials have been analyzed to determine those words and themes which went into the classifying of an item as pro or anti-war. In order to produce a manageable set of data, it was decided to examine the editorials of five major newspapers regarding four significant incidents during the period under examination.

The New York Times, Wall Street Journal, Chicago Tribune, Washington Post, and Los Angeles Times were selected because of their wide geographic coverage and their positions over varying degrees of the political spectrum.

The events examined are the Tonkin Gulf incident (Aug. 2, 1964), the 1968 Tet offensive (Jan. 31; 1968), President Nixon's 1969 "Vietnamization". announcement (May 14, 1969) and the fall of Saigon (April 30, 1975). These were chosen because of their overall importance to American involvement in the Vietnamese war. 4

In order to establish a workable base of data from which to analyze reactions of the newspapers to the incidents, this study has examined editorial reaction for 21 days following each incident. While this time period was arbitrarily chosen in order to accompdate time limitations, research demonstrated that, except in the case of the fall of Saigon, editorial comment. diminished very significantly after three weeks had elapsed.

In all, 131 editorials were examined and interpreted. A total of 2 variables were used to evaluate each editorial included in the study. (See Appendix A) The first 10 variables concerned such items as newspaper name,

date of editorial, size of editorial, overall tone, placement on the Op-Ed page, and number of pro-war, neutral, or anti-war themes contained in each editorial. The use of themes to determine overall tone was considered necessary in order to more objectively determine each editorial's content. A theme could consist of a phrase, a sentence, or one or more paragraphs. The most significant criterion in the determination of the parameters of a theme was the ability to infer a definitive tonal meaning from it. This criterion was also used in evaluating content.

The remaining 13 variables consisted of a group of words, preselected before analysis of the editorial's content and tone. No attempt was made to count the number of times that a selected word appeared in an editorial or to quantify grammatical modifiers used with the word. O.R. Holsti, in Content Analysis for the Social Sciences and Humanities, states that "to determine that an editorial used the term 'freedom' X number of times is a meaningless finding by itself, as is any other unrelated fact about communication content." He goes on to state, however, that if one chooses certain words in advance—words that contain force and meaning—and then checks to see if they appear of not, inferences may be drawn. The selected words for this study are listed in Appendix A and were applied to the editorials in question in the manner suggested by Holsti's methodology. In choosing these words, it was anticipated that they would appear with considerable frequency as they are words commonly used in connection with a wartime set of circumstances.

After coding, the information was tabulated and cross-tabulated using SPSS. This was used since one of the objectives of the study was to determine the adaptability of this package to a newspaper editorial content analysis. Statistical significance was noted on very few of the cross-tabulations but the

-4-

information did indicate many descriptive variations. These variations will be the subject of the following analyses and discussions.

### Findings

Table 1, below indicates how the editorials were spread between the incidents.

Table 1
Breakdown of Editorials by Newspaper and Incident

						_	•	٠, .	~ 4	
, •,	Tot	al	Ton	kín . lf		8 Tet enši <u>ve</u>		xon's Viét- mization		ll of
,		, .			2	`				
Chicago Trib.	25	19.1%	-6	24.0%	5.	120.0%	3	. 12.0%	11	44.0%
Wall St. Jour.				11.8%	. 1	5.9%	4	23.5%	10	58.8%
L.A. Times	17	13.0%	. 4	23:5%	4	23.5%	'2 .	11.8%	7	41.2%
N.Y. Times		•		26.8%	·5.	12.2%	12	29.3%	13 /	31:7%
Wash. Post				35,5%	ż	6.5%	9	. 29 ,0%	9.	29.0%
	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				_		<del>( -</del>	•	` <del>/</del>	r
,	<u>131</u>		· <u>34</u>	•	-17		<u>30</u> .		5Ø	
•	<del>==</del>	-	=		=	•	$_{ackslash}$		#	
٠ ` .	100%		26.0	8	13.0	8 ·	22,9	<b>%</b>	38.2%	<b>5</b>
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							_			•

Source: SPSS frequencies run on all editorials in the study

Looking at the five newspapers as a group, 114 (87.0%) of the editorials dealt with the three incidents other than the Tet offensive. Examination of the individual newspapers "scores," and the editorials themselves was necessary in order to determine the reason for an apparently lesser interest in such an important happening. While not anticipated at the commencement of the study the answer to this question represented its <u>first major finding</u>. The cause of the skewed number of editorials which dealt with the Tet offensive is found by looking at the New York Times and the Washington Post.

While these two papers accounted for more than 55% of the total coverage sampled (Table 1), the combined total of seven editorials dealing with Tet

represented less than 10% of their coverage in the survey. Table 2 shows that it was only concerning the Tet offensive that the Times ran no pro-War ttems and the Post none that were anti-war.

that depth of the Times! editorializing on this incident was greater than that depth of the Times! In editorials on Feb. 1, 1968, both papers seemed to feel that the offensive was a last Communist push prior to beginning peace negotiations. The Times, in an anti-war tone, mentions the offensive as "further proof of the limitations of American power in asia." It further stated that the offensive could not be the work of an enemy force whose morale is "sinking that the United States could hardly be said to be "winning," and that substantially "more troops than the Administration (had) yet admitted" would be required in order to attain a "clear-cut military victory."

The Post's first editorial on Tet was definitely more hawkish than the Times'. While warning that talk of an invasion in the context of the Viet Cong attacks was dangerous, possibly sounding like "a prelude for proposals of retaliation," the Post went on to state that American military officials had best be thinking of a different emphasis in their actions than simply "attrition of enemy forces in the hinterlands," possibly "a modified, more selective search-and-destroy policy. The paper concluded that its suggested alternatives had "been advanced publicly. by responsible men" but were unlikely to get a full hearing while we "are determined to find enemy failures in actions where the enemy, by its own known definition of its objectives, finds success."

The other editorial run by the Post during the selected test period was on Feb. 3, 1968. It was definitely pro-war in tone, suggesting that the United States give no consideration to a dessation of its bombing attacks of the North while "the enemy is engaged in a great outburst of attacks all over South

Table 2 Trend of Overall Tone Newspaper by Incident

• " / "	÷. • .		•		•
	Total	Tonkin ,	(196 <b>8 Tet</b>	Nixon's Viet-	Fall of
	.\	Gulf	Offensive	namization	Saigon
	<i>;</i> ,		<i>,</i> *	<del></del>	
Chicago Tribuite	•	<i>!</i> · .		• •	
Strongly anti	2) 20%	2) 33%	0) 20%	0) 33%	0) 98
Anti-war 🐧 🧺	The second second	./0) 33* `	1) 200	1)	1)
Neutral	8	/ 1	• . 0	. 0.	. 7
Pro-war .	8) 48%	J. 3) 50%	2) 2)80%	1) 67%	2) 1)27%
Strongly pro	W) The	(0)	2)	· 1) ***	1)
77-2	<b>4</b> · 2	-	•		•
Wall-Street Journa	16			- 4	-•
Strongly anti	4) 35%	· 1)50%	1) 100%	0') 1) 25%	3) 30%
Anti-war		٦,	,	1)	0,
Neutral 🖟	, 4	1 <sup>e,</sup>	0	1	2.
Pro-war	· · 5) 2)41%	0) 0% -	0) 0%	2) 50%	3) 2) <sup>50</sup> %
Strongly pro	2) 110	0) .	0)	٠ δ)	. 21
Los Angeles Times		,		, ,	•
Strongly anti.	3)	' 0)	` ^1	0) 00	
Anti-war	3) 1) <sup>24</sup> %	. 0%	0) 0%	. 0) 0%	3/57 <b>%</b>
Neutral	6	0)	2.	4 07	٠,٠
Rro-war.		3.3			, 0),
Strongly pro .	4) 3) 41%	3) 100%	2) 0) 50%	1) 50%	0) 0%
octongly pro .	,57	. 37	07		0,
New York Times.	,		,	•	
Strongly anti	` `15)	1)	2):	9)	3)
Anti-war	15) 11) 63%	2)27%	2) 2)80%	9) 92%	3) 5)62%
Neutra1	10	6.:)	1	0	<b>4</b> 3
. Pro-war	43	17	· 0)	1)	'2),50
Strongly pro	1) 12%	1 18%	0) 0%	0) .8%	0) 15%
	•	'/	•	•	•
Washington Post	•				•
Strongly anti	7) 6) 42%	.1) 18%	0) 0%	0) 33%	6)89%
Anti-war	6) <sup>42 3</sup>	. 1) 18%	´ o) 🐧	3) 338 ,	2)
Neutral	: 10	5	0	. 4	1
Pro-war ( , ;	6) 26%	3)/36%	. 2) 100%	1) 22%	0) 0%
Strongly pro	2) 203	1) 300	0).100%	1) 22 5 3	0) 0
7					,
			• •	1	

Source: SPSS crosstabulation run. Strong significance was noted in Chi-square calculations for the anti-war and neutral editorials. No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations relating newspaper and incident in any of the other "tone" classifications.

Vietnam." The Times, even though printing fewer than its generally high number of items demonstrated in this study, ran additional editorials on Feb. 2, 4, 8, and 11, 1968. All of these were anti-war; two strongly so. While determining in quantitatively, which of the newspapers were responsible for the decrease in editorial volume as it related to Tet, the data disclosed no hard evidence as to the reasons behind this decrease. It is possible, however, to infer that both of these highly committed newspapers felt that the Tet incident had caused clearly defined political lines to be drawn-lines upon which they had expressed their editorial opinions which required no further clarification.

The second major finding indicated by the data in the study was that the Chicago Tribune, the Los Angeles Times and Washington Post trended from pro-war to anti-war during the time frame covered. While the New York Times was rather consistently anti-war. The Wall Street Journal, on the other hand, ran counter to the other papers, going from anti-war to pro-war. Table 2 indicates that of the five papers, only the Journal ran more than 50% of its editorials with a pro-war tone after the fall of Saigon. The Tribune, which had always run more than 50% of its editorials on the pro-war side of the scoreboard ran only three (27%) pro-war items. The Los Angeles Times ran none for the three-week period beginning April 30, 1975.

The third overall trend in the study is illustrated by Table 3, which analyzed editorial tone by incident. It shows 71% of the editorials to have been either above or below the "neutral line," indicating that the newspapers were willing to take a definite stand.

While eliciting the lowest overall amount of comment, Tet had a slightly greater polarizing effect. Fewer than 18% of the items on this incident were
in the "neutral" column, closely followed by Nixon's Vietnamization with 20% clas-

sified as "neutral." The Tonkin Gulf incident, with over 38% of its editorials classified as "neutral," indicated the greatest amount of indecisiveness.

Table 3
Analysis of Overall Tone by Incident

1 " .		1 ——	otal		onkin Gulf		Tet nsive		.xon's .Vi . <u>mization</u>	Fall of Saigon	-
,	4.08		• .		_			2.	ر ن		
Strongly	antī	31	23.7%	4	11.8%	1 3	17,6%	9	30.0%	15 30.0%	
Anti-war		23	17.6%	4	11.8%	.3 ≯	17.6%	7	23.3%	9 18.0%	
Neutral		′*3 <b>8</b>	29.0%	13	38.2%	<b>≯</b> 3	17.6%	6	g 20.0%	16 32.0%	
₽ro-war		27	(20.6%)	8	23.5%	٠6	35.3%	6	20.0%	7. 14.0%	
Strongly.	pro	12	9.2%	5	14.4%	2	11.8%	2	6.78	3 6.0%	
								_	•	<u> </u>	
	1	<u>131</u>	` .	34		<u>17</u>	•	30		, <u>50</u>	

Source: SPSS frequencies run on all editorials in the study.

The fourth major finding was that the overall tone of editorials in the study was anti-war, with 41.3% in this classification and only 29.8% graded as pro-war. While there was a definite upward trend in the number of anti-war editorials as the war progressed, further analysis of Tables 2 and 3 indicates significant variances by incident and individual newspaper. The Tet offensive skewed the trends in tone as well as in number of editorials, as pointed out above. While the overall trend line in pro-war editorials went down from 1964 to 1975, Tet created a jog in the curve, with 5771% of the opinion on this incident classified as pro-war.

The Chicago Tribune printed the largest overall number of pro-war items, with 48% classified in this category, followed closely by the Wall Street Journal and the Los Angelés Times, each having 41% of their total editorials

rated as "pro-war." The Washington Post and New York Times printed the lowest number of pro-war pieces, with 26% and 12%, respectively graded in this category.

The New York/Times was most strongly represented in the "anti-war" column with 63% of its editorials so classified, followed by the Post with 42% the Journal with 35%, the Los Angeles Times with 24% and the Tribune with 20%.

At the time of the Tet offensive the Post, Tribune and Los Angeles Times caused a skew in the upward trend of anti-war editorials between 1964 and 1975.

On Tet, the Post's editorials were 100% pro-war, the Tribune's 80% pro-war and the Los Angeles Times' 50% pro-war. Neither the New York Times nor the Journal ran any pro-war items on Tet.

The fifth major finding was that the paper which most clearly appeared to have changed its editorial opinion over the period of the study was the Los Angeles Times. The Times ran 100% in the pro-war category on the Tonkin Gulf incident, dropped to 50% for Tet and Vietnamization, and had none so classified at the time of the fall of Saigon. When Saigon fell, the Times ran 57% of its items in the anti-war category.

At the other end of the spectrum, the New York Times showed the least amount of change, falling primarily in the anti-war category throughout the 1964-1975 period.

The Washington Post, with the exception of the skewing effect of the Tet offensive, steadily increased its anti-war position. Just as steadily, it decreased its pro-war items throughout the time period studied.

while the Chicago Tribune's percentage of pro-war editorials decreased steadily from 1968 to 1975, the decline was not a significant one until the fail of Saigon. Unlike the other newspapers examined, at no time did the percentage of anti-war editorials in the Tribune exceed those classified as pro-war.

Tribune ran only one (9%) editorial classified as anti-war. In comparison, the Wall Street Journal, ranked lowest of the five papers, had three (30%) of its items classified as anti-war.

Interestingly, the Journal ran only neutral or anti-war editorials prior to 1969, when it began printing pro-war editorials in support of Nixon's Vietnamization announcement. This trend was in complete opposition to that of the other newspapers studied.

In 1969, the Journal was joined by the Los Angeles Times, Chicago Tribune' and Washington Post in running pro-war commentaries. By 1975 the situation had changed and only the Tribune and Journal leaned more toward the hawks than the doves, with the Trib at least consistent with previous editorial policies.

The Journal was troubled by several aspects of the American withdrawal from Vietnam. It blamed the Soviets for escalation of the war through their sending in of weapons, "in gross Fiolation of the Paris accords." and asked what had happened to detente.

The Journal's greatest concern, reflected in two strongly pro-war editorials, seemed to be that American withdrawal from Vietnam would lead the Communist powers to a belief in U.S. military weakness. The editors felt that "freedom of the seas, and more broadly freedom of Americans to travel and trade in the world, is one of the bedrocks of American foreign policy." The Journal quoted, as very encouraging, Senator Walter Mondale's comment, that, "'It's very important not to signal the Russians and others that we're giving up.'," and concluded that "...refusal to vote sufficient funds for weapons procurement and research and development, in the name of an elusive detente, (was) irresponsible and dangerous." 13

Table 4 emphasizes the newspapers' gradual but continued trend from

generally pro-war to generally anti-war attitudes. During the earlier years covered by this study the number and percentage of editorials of a pro-war nature which were placed in the lead position declined significantly. Similarly, the number of lead editorials classified as anti-war grew continuously over the period of the study. The same effect can be noted, though more gradually, in the editorials placed in other than the lead position.

Table 4 indicates that the placement of the items on the editorial page followed a predictable pattern. Let deditorials and, with the exception of the Wall Street Journal, editorials which were alloted an entire column, contained the strongest opinions. In no incident other than the fall of Saigon did neutral editorials occupy more than 19% of the "lead" space on an editorial page. Saigon's large number of lead editorials is accounted for by the fact that 32% of the items on this incident were neutral in character.

From the data in Tables 5 and 6 it can be concluded that placement and content were more significant indicators of the newspapers' opinions than size. Analysis of the relationship between incident, general tone and editorial size did not show any meaningful trends, either of a descriptive or a statistical nature.

This study also analyzed specific words used in the editorials. The individual words chosen for examination within the context of this study are indicated as variables 11 through 23 in Appendix A. Analysis of the words has been limited to those words which appeared most often or whose lack of appearance was considered noteworthy.

The words most used in the editorials studied were communist, peace, attack, aggression and negotiation. "Communist" was a freely used word, appearing in a total of 82 editorials (62.6%). While not appearing in every editorial,

the word "communist" was used by every newspaper in the context of every incident. The word had been accepted in cold war terminology for many years, and thus, while descriptive of something undesirable, was certainly not considered too "hot" to use in the case of an undeclared war. In very few of the cases was this word used to describe anything in a favorable light.

The word "negotiation" was used by all of the newspapers and like "communist" was used in the context of every incident. It was used in a total of 31 (23.7%) of the editorials, and not surprisingly, out of the total of 31 usages, 15 (48.4%) were by the New York Times.

The word "peace" was used with relative frequency, appearing in 44 (33.6%) of the cases. Each newspaper made use of the word more than once and it was used in connection with every incident. The New York Times was the champion of "peace," using the word 18 (40.9%) of the times that it appeared. The Chicago Tribune was a "slow second," with only 10 (22.7%) editorial appearances.

As anticipated, the words "aggression" and "attack" were both used frequently and referred to those actions taken by the enemy against this nation and its allies. "Agression" was used in 24 (18.3%) of the editorials, while "attack" was used in 43 (32.8%) of the items. Both words were used by all of the newspapers in connection with each of the incidents.

The words least used in the editorials in this study were enemy, American power, win, lose, victory, defeat and escalation.

"Enemy" was used in only 26 (19.8%) of the cases. It is interesting to note that while most of the newspapers only used this word in the context of incidents beginning with the 1968 Tet offensive, the Los Angeles Times used it in connection with Tonkin Gulf and once again in connection with Tet, though not using it in any other editorial. The other newspapers, perhaps not willing to

admit at this juncture that an enemy existed, used such phrases as "communists" and "North Vietnamese" to describe the Maddox' attackers.

The term "American power" was used in only 23 of the cases in the study (17.6%). When used it generally represented 19th and early 20th century jingoism. Every newspaper used the term more than once and it appeared within the context of each of the incidents. The New York Times waved the term "naval and air supremacy of the United States," as having been fully demonstrated during the Tonkin Gulf Incident. The Tribune referred to "American military might" as having produced a white flag for the first time in connection with the fall of Saigon. Saigon to support any decision it might make. 16

The word "ally" was used with about the same frequency as was "enemy," with the exception that it was used by each of the newspapers in connection with all of the incidents. "Ally" was used in 27 (20.6%) of the editorials, and based on its catholic usage, one might deduce that while this country could not have enemies in an undeclared war, it was permitted to have allies. The word "ally" did not turn out to be as "loaded" a war term as originally expected since several uses referred to the allies of Hanoi.

The prime definition of "win" is to "gain the victory in any contest; to triumph; prevail, succeed." For some inexplicable reason, this word was used in only eight (6.1%) of the surveyed editorials. The Tribune used it twice in connection with the Tonkin Gulf incident, once related to Tet, and once after the fall of Saigon. The Wall Street Journal used "win" only in one of its items relating to "Tonkin." The New York Times referred to the word one time in the context of Tet; the Washington Post once each, in reference to Vietnamization and the fall of Saigon; the Los Angeles Times not at all, in 17 editorials

over the entire period.

"Winning" was obviously not a popular word with editorial writers, but the word "losing" was even less so. At no time during the study did any of the editorials contain the word "losing." The possibility exists that the editors were too literal and failed to use the word because its first definition is "to bring to destruction; to ruin,"--it is not until the sixth usage that "to fail to gain or win" is used. It can be supposed that the possibility of this country's "losing" an undeclared war was not to be considered while the fact that it suffered a defeat, a word that was used, was acceptable to the newspapers—or unavoidable.

"Victory" was a more acceptable word, appearing in 27 (20.6%) of the editorials. Every paper used the word at least once and it appeared in the context of every incident. The word "victory" was used in editorials of every tone from strongly anti-war to strongly pro-war and was modified by such words as communist, cold war, great and American. It was not as strong a word as anticipated.

while, the word "defeat" was used, it was not used with significant frequency, appearing in only 12 (9.2%) of the editorials. The word was used by the Chicago Tribune in connection with Tet, Vietnamization and the fall of Saigon, by the Journal only concerning Vietnamization; and by the New York Times, in five of its items at the time of the fall of Saigon. The Los Angeles Times and the Washington Post failed to use "defeat" at all. The word "defeat" was used over almost the entire tonal spectrum, from strongly anti-war to pro-war.

"Escalation" was another infrequently used word, appearing in only seven (5.3%) of the editorials. It was used by the papers as might have been expected in the framework of this study. When seeking to brand the Com-

munists as agressors, to be repelled and defeated, the *Tribune* Quite appropriately referred to their escalation of the war. The New York *Times*, on the other hand, was equally correct injusing this "charged" word as part of its anti-war warnings when it spoke of "the constant risk of further costly and dangerous escalation."

## Summary and Conclusions

- The overall tone of the editorials was and -war.
- The Chicago Tribune, the Los Angeles Times and Washington Post trended from pro-war to anti-war during the time frame covered. The New York Times was consistently anti-war. The Wall Street Journal trended from anti-war to pro-war.
- The newspapers were willing to take definite stands during the period under study.
- The paper which most clearly appeared to have changed its editorial opinion was the Los Angeles Times.
- The New York Times and the Washington Post, both generally prolific in their editorials throughout the period of the study, inexplicably presented fewer items on the Tet offensive than the other papers.

This study has examined in some detail the editorial coverage of five newspapers as it concerned four widely separated incidents during U.S. involvement in the Vietnam war. While clearly demonstrating the editorial trends of the various newspapers and the individual aspects (placement, size, tone) which made up these trends, the study, by necessity lacked sufficient data to prove these conclusively. Further investigation must be made with data concerning

additional incidents occurring at dates in between those examined in this study. With this study serving as a model, further research, with a lengthened time period following each incident during which editorials could be gathered and analyzed, should prove meaningful.

One more point established by the study was the practical application of the SPSS package, which is the easiest for a non-technical researcher to use, to this specific problem of newspaper content analysis. The computer can be an extremely useful tool to the social scientist as long as the machine does not divert attention from the study of people to the study of numbers. Many new hypotheses can be created and old ones corroborated—or contradicted—with the only limitations being coding techniques and the degree of inventiveness possessed by the researcher.

Table 4
Placement of Editorial, by Tone

		· T	onk i	in Gul	lf			68 Te		.,		Nixon tnami		ion			lî o: igon		<u>.</u>
	•	Anti	,		Pro	Antí	,		•	Pro	Anti	<del></del>	•	Pro	Anti	_			Pro
	ı	Strongly	Anti-war	. Neutral	Strongiy	Strongly	Anti-war	Neutral	Pro-war	Strongly	Strongly	Anti-war' Neutral	Pro-war	Strongly	Strongly	Anti-war	Neutral	Pro-war	Strongly
Lead Editorial		14%	148.	198 298	24\$	20%	20%	10%	408	10%	39%	288	118.	89	33% 3	218	278	154	, %
Chicago Tribune Wall Street Journal Los Angeles Times New York Times Washington Post	•	2 0 0 0	0	1 2 0 0 0 1 2 1 1 2	•	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 1 0 0	0 0, 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 •7	0 0 1 1 0 0 2 0 2 2	0 0 L 2 0 0	0	0 1 3 2 5	1 0 0 4 2	3 2 .1 2		1 0. 0
Other Placement Chicago Tribune Wall Street Journal Los Angeles Times New York Times Washington Post		. 0 0 0 1		%LT 1000 0 0 4 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 148	0 - 0 0 0 14%,	Ò	2 0 0 0	00000 148	00000178	2 3 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 2		1 0 0 0	\$52.0 2 0 1 <b>1</b>	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 44%	89 0-000	10 00000

Source: SPSS crosstabulation run, using the entire number of editorial cases studied.

No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations. This table includes only 129 of the cases. Two of the editorials were alloted the entire editorial page.

Both of these were run in the Wall Street Journal. The first, on Tonkin Gulf, was neutral, and the second, on the Fall of Saigon, was pro-war.

Table 5
Relationship Between Incident and Editorial
Size, by Newspaper

	Tonkin.	1968 Tet Offensive	Nixon's Viet- namization	Fall of Saigon
Chicago Tribune				i eu
2.5 to 9.0 inches	ું 1	2	· 1	. 3
9.3 to 12.8 inches	.ಚ.೧	1	2	. 1
13.0 to 33.5 inches	🤄 5 🙏	2	, 0,	, 7
		•		.42
Wall Street Journal		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	′ • .	
2.5 to 9.0 inches	. a	. 0	0 ` •	1
9.3 to 12.8 inches	0 .	1	'o .	2
13.0 to 33.5 inches	2.	Ö	/ 4	7 ·
		,	( '	
	`.,	τ		4
Los Angeles Times	1. A27.	•		· ,
2.5 to 9.0 inches	* o	2 .	0 ~	· 2
9.3 to 12.8 inches	÷o	0	1	· <b>5</b>
13.0 to 33.5 inches	4.	2	1.	• 0
		**	<b>)</b>	•
	·		. **	r .
New York Times	. •	1	· •	
2.5 to 9.0 inches	6	1.	6	7
9.3 to 12.8 inches	5	3	Ś	6
.13.0 to 33.5 inches	0	1	1 ,	۰,٠
Washington Post		*	,	
2.5 to 9.0 inches	8	o ·	2	2
9.3 to 12.8 inches	1 '	0	4 ,	7
13.0 to 33.5 inches	2 .	, <sup>2</sup> .	3	, 0

Source: SPSS crosstabulation run. Some significance was noted in Chi square calculations for the large editorials, relating newspaper and incident (13.0 to 33.5 inches). No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations in either of the other two size classifications.

Relationship Between Incident and Editorial
Size, by Newspaper, by Tone
2.5 to 9.0 Inches

	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		بالمار المار
. '			1968 Tet	Nixon's Viet-	•
•		Gulf /	Offensive '	namization	Saigon
		•			
Chicago Tribune		• •			•
Strongly Anti /		0 .	. 0 ,		0 -
Anti-war		0	0	0 `	م ر0. ∗
Neutral	,	0 •	» O .	' ° `*	3 * *
Pro-war	•	1	· 2	1	0
Strongly Pro	. • .	0	· 0 .	0	0
•		• -			
Wall-Street Journa	al ·				` .
strongly Anti		0,	10 7	, 0	. 1
Anti-war		0	0	, <b>0</b>	. 0
Neutral	1,	0	Ö	0	. O
Pro-war		0	0	0	0
, Stronglŷ Pro	* 1 %	, O <sub>.</sub>	<del>-</del> 0	0	.0
<u> </u>	,	•			: .
Los Angeles Times	•	<i>;</i> " •¶	NG.	•	•
Strongly Anti	•	0	0	0	î
Anti-war		0	0	0 ^	, 0
Neutral (	•	0 .	1	o ,	1
Pro-war 📏		0	1	0	· 0
Strongly Pro	, .	0	ο.	` 0	0
•	•				• ,
,	*		2.	•	
New York Times				•	
Strongly Anți	•	0	0	4 .	1
Anti-war		. 1	0	1	3.
Neutral		` 3	1.	.0 ,	2
Pro-war	_ 1	1 %	0	1	7
Strongly Pro	`	٠ 1	0	0	0
•	•				•
Washington Post					•
Strongly Anti		<b>1</b> .	0	0	2 -
Anti-war		1	0 _	. 0	. 0
Neutral		4	. 0	2	. 0
Pro-war		2	0	. 0	. 0
Strongly Pro	•	. 0	9 0	0	, 0
= <b>3-3</b>		•	. S		

Source: SPSS cross tabulation run. No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations.

Table 6 (Cont'd.)
Relationship Between Incident and Editorial
Size, by Newspaper, by Tone
9.3 to 12.8 Inches

	Tonkin Gulf	1968 Tet Offensive	Nixon's Viet- namization	Fall of, Saigon?
Chicago Tribune		•	<i>k</i>	
Strongly Anti		٥	. ^ `	ο,
Anti-war	, ,	· - <u>`</u>	. 1	. 0
Neutral	ŏ	õ	· 'n * ~ ~	Ď,
, Pro-war	₩ -0	0 5	Ŏ	i
Strongly Pro	, ,	1,	1	ō ;
and the second		•		•
Wall Street Journal		•		
Strongly Anti	0	1	0.	, V
Anti-war	0	. 0	. 0 , 3	0 ~9 <sub>8</sub>
Neutral	- 0 •	. 0		U
Pro-war	0	. ` 0	0	. 1
Shrongly Pro	. 0	. 0	. 0	1, -
11. ·	•		₹ <b></b>	
Los Angeles Times 🔹	,		•	i jes
Strongly Anti	0 .	0. i	- 0	2
· Anti-war	O 1.	7 - O 🐠 🖰	0	î .
Neutral'	` 0	~ 0 ,i	0,	2
Pro-war	. • 0	• 0	<i>5</i> 1.	.0 👌
Strongly Pro	, 0	0	0	به سنوسم .
	ď	•	<b>.</b>	
New York Time's	•			
Strongly Anti	137	2	4	2.
Anti-war -	1	1	1 1	2
Neutral	, 3 ,	. 0	·/ ·0 \	<b>\</b> ****.
Pro-war	. 0	. 0	0	1 2
Strongly Pro	0 ,	, 0 ,	, 0	\., \., \., \.
<b>'</b>		• •	Ų.	) ;
Washington Post			, ,	-
Strongly Anti	, 0	0	. 0	4 -
Anti-war	, •/•	0	1	2
Neutral ·	o .	21 O	1	· 1 .
Pro-war	1	0 ,	1 .	[ 0
Strongly Pro	0	ο .	1 -	ہ آ ہ
	4		" 1/	

Source: SPSS cross tabulation run. No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations.

Table 6 (Cont'd.)
Relationship Between Incident and Editorial
Size; by Newspaper, by Tone
13.0 to 33.5 Inches

	•						
	Tonkin	1968 Tet_	Nixon's Viet-	Fall of			
• •	Gulf	<u>Offensive</u>	namization :	Sãigon			
. /		•		. ,			
G (7)	•						
Chicago Tribune				10			
Strongly Anti	2	· 0.	. 0,	7., 0			
Ahti-war	0 .	1	J. 11 0 200	. * 1			
Neutral ·	1	0	At .0.	4			
Pro-war	2 0		., ., . 0	1/0/			
Strongly Pro	?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		7 T.			
•				•			
Wall Street Journal							
Strongly Anti	0	<b>≝#</b> . ↑	0	* 2			
Anti-war	′ 1	0.4	1	0			
Neutral	î	0 -	1	2			
Pro-war	· o 🖍	0	2	2			
Strongly Pro	o ſ	· 0 ·	Ō	• 1			
	7)		• • • •	_			
	بسب		; ;	. ,			
Los Angeles Times	,	(					
Strongly Anti	0	· ° 0		0			
Anti-war	ىر 0 `	0 '	. O	0:			
Neutral		1	1	0.			
Pro-war .	`1	1	. 0	<b>⟨ ∙o</b> ͺ			
Strongly Pro	^ 3	0	Ò.	~ 0 .			
•	ŕ						
,		•					
New York Times		•					
Strongly Anti	0	• 0	1.	- o ·			
Anti-war	, 0	, r `., ·	0 .	. 0 .			
Neutral	0	o,	0	0 ′			
Pro-war ·	. 0	0 1.	<b>o</b> .	.0			
Strongly Pro .	- 0	• 0	'0 '*	• 0 •			
	;	•		<b>)</b>			
Washington Post	<i>ب</i> م	, ,	· ),	-			
Strongly Anti	. 0		_ 0	<b>0</b> .			
Anti-war	,	- " <sup>አ</sup> ጣ	2	. 0			
Neutral '		.°	1	<b>~</b> .0			
Pro-war	1	<u> </u>	۲۸	. ^ ′			
Strongly Pro		• • • •	U	´ : ' ' '			

Source: SPSS crosstabylation run. No meaningful significance was noted in Chi-square calculations.

#### FOOTNOTES.

- 1. "Spectrum. A Reporter Looks Back: The CIA and the Fall of Saigon," Washington Journalism Review, January/February, 1978, pp. 18,19.
- 2. Peter Braestrup, Big Story, How the American Press and Television.
  Reported and Interpreted the Crisis of Tet 1968 in Vietnam and
  Washington: -(1977); Tom-Wicker, Oh: Press; (1978)....
- 3. "The Ten Best American Dailies," Time, January 21, 1974, pp. 58-61. Several things were used by Time's editors in selecting the "ten best," including efforts to cover national and international news in addition to a paper's own community; entertainment value accompanying information; willingness to risk money and manpower on extended investigations; and the offering of a wide range of divergent opinion in "Op-Ed" pages and dissenting columns. The selection was made on the basis of editorial excellence, and not commercial success, although all of the papers chosen were economically sound.
- 4. These events are discussed at length in F.A. Poole, The United States and Indochina, From FDR to Nixon, (1973), B.B. Fall, Last Reflections on a War, (1967), B.B. Fall, Viet-Nam Witness 1953-66, (1966) and T. Hoopés, The Limits of Intervention, (1969).
- 5. O.R. Holsti, Content Analysis for the Social Sciences and Humanities, (1969), p. 28.
- 6. The statistics have been slightly skewed by the fact that nine (6.9%) of the editorials concerning the fall of Saigon also included comment on the capture of the American freighter Mayaguez. The Mayaguez incident occurred in April, 1975. Some of the nine editorials were heavily concerned with the Mayaguez incident but all of them were connected in some way to the American withdrawal from Vietnam. Editorials which concerned themselves only with the Mayaguez were omitted from the study.
- 7. "Bloody Path to Peace?," New York Times, 1 February 1968, p. 36.
- 8. Ibid.
- 9. "Rationalizing the Vietnam Rampage," Washington Post,,1 February 1968, p. A20.
- 10. "Terms for a Bombing Halt," Washington Post; 3 February 1968, p. A12.
- 11. "Whatever Happened to Detente?," Wall Street Journal, 30 April 1975, p. 20.
- 12. "Retrieving the Mayaguez," Wall Street Journal, 14 May 1975, p. 14. Italicamine.
- 13. "Rethinking the Military Budget," Wall Street Journal, 13 May 1975, p. 14.

- 14. "Intelligence on Vietnam," New York Times, 24 August 1964, p. 26.
- 15. "The Message from Koh Tang," Chicago Tribune, 16 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
- 16. "Defining our Committments," Chicago Tribune, 18 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 4.
- 17. Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Rifth Edition, (1946).
  - 18. "Bloody Path to Peace," New York Times, 1 February 1968, p. 36.

## Appendix

Code Book and Variables List

#### Vari**a**ble

.1

Code

Case number - three digits.

Newspaper - two digits.

- Ol Chicago Tribune
- '02 . Wall Street Journal
- <sup>™</sup>03 Los Angelés Times
  - 04 New York Times \*\*\*
  - 05 Washington Post

Date of editorial - six digits - should have year placed first. i.e. 690201. (February 2, 1969)

Incident - two digits.

- Ol Tonkin Gulf Incident
- 02 1968 Tet Offensive
- 03 Nixon's Vietnamization Proposal
- 04 Fall of Saigon

Number of column inches in each editorial three digits - to be expressed in inches
and tenths of inches. Eight and onehalf inches should be coded as 085; tenand one-half inches coded as 105.

Overall tone of editorial - two digits.

- \*Of Strongly anti-war
- 02 Anti-war
- 03 Neutral
- 04 Pro-war
- 05 Strongly pro-war

Placement of editorial on Op-Ed. page two digits. ♥

- Ol' Entire editorial column
- 02 Lead editorial
- 03 Other placement in editorial column

Number of pro-war themes or phrases - one digit.

Number of neutral themes or phrases one digit.

# 10 'Number of pro-war themes or phrases one digit. Pre-selected word list - one digit. 1 Word used in editorial ... 0 Word not used in editorial . Enemy. Communist \*\* American power (includes such other words or phrases as: U.S. air power, U.S. naval and air supremacy, American military might, and world's greatest power) Peace or peaceful, 14 Ally, allies, allied, alliance 15 Winning or won. 16 17 Losing. Victory or victors. 18 Defeat or defeatism. 19 Escalation / 20 Negotiate or negotiation. 21 Aggression, aggressor, or aggressiveness. Attack. 23

ERIC

Variable

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Fall, Bernard B. Lust Reflections on a War. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday ... & Company, Inc., 1967.
- Fall, Bernard B. Viet-Nam Witness 1953-66. New York: Frederick A. Praeger,
- Holsti, Ole R. Content Analysis for the Social Sciences and Humanities.
  Reading, Mass.: Addison-Weslen Publishing Company, 1969.
- Hoope's, Townsend. The Limits of Intervention. New York: David McKay & Company, Inc., 1969.
- Poole, Peter A. The United States and Indochina, From FDR to Nixon.

  Hinsdale, Ill.: The Dryden Press, 1973.
- "The Ten Best American Dailies," Time, January 21, 1974, pp. 58-61.
- Iker, Howard P., "An Historical Note on the Use of Word-Frequency Contiguities in Content Analysis," Computers and the Humanities, Volume 8, pp. 93-98. Pergamon Press, 1974.
- Shar, Bonald L., "Some Decision Points in the Content Analysis Research
  Process," Studies in Journalism and Communications, Study Number
  Six, June, 1967. School of Journalism, The University of North
  Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Dumphy, Dexter C. Stone, Philip J., and Smith, Marshall S., "The General Inquirer: Further Developments in a Computer System for Content Analysis of Verbal Data in the Social Sciences," Behavioral Science, Volume 10, Number 4, October, 1965.
- Carlson, Arthur R., "Concept Frequency in Political Text: An Application of a Total Indexing Method of Automated Content Analysis," Behavioral Science, Volume 12, Number 1, January, 1967.
- "Sober Response," Washington Post, 4 August 1964, p. A12.
- "Stern Crisis," Washington Post, 6 August 1964, p. A2Q.
- "Democracy's Response...," Washington Post, 7 August 1964, p. A18.
- "...Communism's Choice," Washington Post, 7 August 1964, p. A18.
- "Guns of August," Washington Post, 9 August 1964, p. E6.
- "Viet-Nam Sequel," Washington Post, 12 August 1964, p. A20.
- "Viet-Nam and the U.N.," Washington Post; 16 August 1964, p. E6.
- "Nuclear Arms," Washington Post, 18 August 1964, p. A12.

"South Viet-Nam," Washington Post, 19 August 1964, p. A20.

"Twists in Laos," Washington Post, 19 August 1964, p. A20.

"Pointing With Pride," Washington Post, 23 August 1964, p. E6.

"Rationalizing the Vietnam Rampage," Washington Post, 1 February 1968, p. A20.

"Terms for a Bombing Halt," Washington Post, 3 February 1968, p. A12:

"The President and the War," Washington Post, 16 May 1969, p. A24.

"Mr. Nixon's Interim Draft Reforms," Washington Post, 17 May 1969, p. A18.

"Amok Is a Malay Word," Washington Post, 21 May 1969, p. A24.

"...And Bangkok," Washington Post, 22 May-1969, p. A24.

"Hanoi and the American Prisoners," Washington Post, 23 May 1969, p. A26.

"A Coalition in Saigon," Washington Post, 27 May 1969, p. A22.

"Memorial Day, 1969," Washington Post, .30 May 1969, p. A30.

"Disciplining Dissent," Washington Post, 31 May 1969, p. A14.

"The President's Commencement Address," Washington Post, 5 June 1969, p. A18

"Deliverance," Washington Post, 30 April 1975, p. A18.

"The Newest Americans," Washington Post, 2 May 1975, p. A22.

"Dear President Thieu," Washington: Post, 4 May 1975, p. C6. .

"Cambodian Transformation," Washington. Post, 11 May 1975, p. C6.

"Caring for Vietnamese Refugees," Washington Post, 13 May 1975, p. A18.

Political Cartoon, Washington Post, 13 May 1975, p. Al8.

"The Mayaguez Affair," Washington Post, 14 May 1975, p. A18.

"The Retrieval of the Mayaguez," Washington Post, 16 May 1975, p. A26.

"The Look of Reality in Laos," Washington Post, 18 May 1975, p. C6. 🏕

"Some More Managed News," Chicago Tribune, 5 August 1964, Sec. 1. p. 8.

"Mr. Stevenson Speaks for America," Chicago Tribune, 6 August 1964, Sec. 1, p. 10.

"Summer Friends and Sunshine Allies," Chicago Tribune, 7 August 1964, Sec. 1, p. 14:

"Khruschev Misses the Bus," Chicago Tribune, 8 August 1964, Sec. 1, p. 12.

```
"Age of Nondeclared Wars," Chicago Tribune, 9 August 1964, Sec. 1, p. 24.
"Speaking of Guilt by Association-," Chicago Tribune, 15 August 1964, Sec. 1,
 Time for Decision in Viet Nam," Chicago Tribune, 1 February 1968, Sec. 1, p. 22.
"The Protesters Are Silent," Chicago Tribune, 8 February 1968, Sec. 1, p. 20.
Necessary Force to Get it Over With, " Chicago Tribune, 14 February 1968, Sec.
"What Can We Expect From Thant," Chicago Tribune, 16 February 1968, Sec.
"Feints in Viet-Nam," Chicago Tribune, 19 February 1968, Sec. 1) p. 20.
"The Hope of Peace," Chicago Tribune, 16 May 1969, Sec. 1, p. 16.
"The Second Guesser," Chicago Tribune, 28 May 1969, Sec. 1, p. 14.
"Mr. Nixon Rules Out An Unarmed America," Chicago Tribune, 5 June 1969, Sec.
"Dateline Hanoi," Chicago Tribune, 30 April 1975, Sec. 3, p. 2.
"Saigon's Surrender," Chicago Tribune, 1 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
"America's First Defeat," Chicago Tribune, 2 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
"The Refugees," Chicago Tribune, 3 May 1975, Sec. 1, p. 12.
" Thailand Hedges its Bets," Chicago Tribune, 7 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
"The Murder of Phnom Penh," Chicago Tribune, 10 May 1975, Sec. 1, p. 8.
"Fourteen Years in Laos," Chicago Tribune, 13 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2
"...And in Cuba," Chicago Tribune, 13 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2..
"Right on, Leonid," Chicago Tribune, 13 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
"The Message from Koh Tang Chicago Tribune, 16 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 2.
"Help for Refugees," Chicago Tribune, 17 May 1975, Sec. 1, p. 10.
"Defining Our Commitments," Chicago Tribune, 18 May 1975, Sec. 2, p. 4.
"Attack on the U.S.S. Maddox," Los Angeles Times, 4 August 1964, Part II, p. 2.
"U.S. Answer to Aggression," Los Angeles Times, 6 August 1964, Part II, p. 4.
"Use- Non-Use--of Power " Lot Angeles Times, 9 August 1964, Sec. G, p. 6.
```

"Sea Beast vs. Land Beast," Los Angeles Times, 17 August 1964, Part II. p.4.

- "Political Warfare in Vietnam," Los Angeles Times, 1 February 1968, Part
  II, p. 4.
- "Times Editorial Views of the Week," Los Angeles Times, 4 February 1968, Section G. p. 6.
- "Saigon's Political Inéptitude." Los Angeles Times, 13 February 1968. Part II, p. 4.
- "Times Editorial Views of the Week," Los Angeles Times, 18 February 1968, Section G. p. 6.
- "The President's Peace Program," Los Angeles Times, 16 May 1969, Part II, p. 8.
- "North Vietnam's U.S. Captives," Los Angeles Times, 26 May 1969, Part II, p. 8.
- "Now, Peace," Los Angeles Times, 30 April 1975, Part II, p. 4.
- "But Which Way for America?," Los Angeles Times, 30 April 1975, Part II,
- "The Newest Americans," Los Angeles Times, 1 May 1975, Part II, p. 4.
- "A New U.S. Policy," Los Angeles Times, 4 May 1975, Part VIII, p. 2.
- "An American Statement," Los Angeles Times, 8 May 1975, Part II, p. 6.
- "A Look at the President," Los Angeles Times, 11 May 1975, Part VI, p. 2.
- "The 'Z' List Gets Longer," Los Angeles Times, 21 May 1975, Part II, p. 6.
- "The President's Decision," Wall Street Journal, 6 August 1964, p. 10.
- "Victory Without War?," Wall Street Journal, 12 August 1964, p.- 10.
- " Viètnam: The American Dilemma, " Wall Street Journal, 6 February 1968, p. 18.
- "Bridging the Chasm," Wall Street Journal, 16 May 1969, p. 18.
- "Defense on the Defensive," Wall Street Journal, 23 May 1969, p. 18.
- "Foreign Policy: The Incomplete Critics," Wall Street Journal, 26 May 1969, p. 18.
- "The Honored Dead," Wall Street Journal, 29 May 1969, p. 18.
- "Whatever Happened to Detente?," Wall Street Journal, 30. April 1975, p. 20.
- "The Vietnamese Refugees," Wall Street Journal, 1 May 1975, p. 16.
- "Death of the EVT," Wall Street Journal, 6 May 1975, p. 26.
- "A Meanness of Spirit," Wall Street Journal, 8 May 1975, p. 12.

"Senator Scott's Atavism," Wall Street Journal, 8 May 1975, p. 12. "Rethinking the Military Budget," Wall Street Journal, 13 May 1975, p. 22. "Retrieving the Mayaguez," Wall Street Journal, 14 May 1975, p. 14. "Cruelty and Cambodia," Wall Street. Journal, 15 May 1975, p. 14. "Getting up to Speed," Wall Street Journal, 16 May 1975, p. 10. "Post-Vietnam Diplomacy," Wall Street Journal, 21 May 1975, p. 20. "Warning to Hanoi," New York Times, 4 August 1964, p. 28. "The President Acts," New York Times, 5 August 1964, p. 32. "Wider War," New York Times, 6 August 1964, p. 28. "Moscow's Reaction," New York Times, 6 August 1964, p. 28. "Shortages in Defense," New York Times, 7 August 1964, p. 28. "Congress and Vietnam," New York Times, 8 August 1964, p. 18. "Vietnam and the U.N.," New York Times, 9 August 1964, Sec. 4, p. 8. "Hanoi Snubs the U.N.," New York Times, 10 August 1964, p. 39. "The Perils of August," New York Times, 16 August 1964, Sec. 4, p. 8. "Vietnamese Shuffle," New York Times, 17 August 1964, p. 24. "Intelligence on Vietnám, " New York Times, 24 August 1964, p. 26. "Bloody Path to Peace," New York Times, 1 February 1968, p. 36. "More Than a Diversion," New York Times, 2 February 1968, p. 34. "The Question in Vietnam," New York Times, 4 February 1968, Sec. 4, p. "After the Tet Offensive," New York Times, 8 February 1968, p. 42. "Vietnam Peace Talks," New York Times, 11 February 1968, Sec. 4, p. 12. "Mr. Nixon's Vietnam Program," New York Times, 15 May 1969, p. 46. "Initiatives for Peace," New York Times, 17 May 1969, p. 32. "Open Door on Vietnam...," New. York Times, 18 May 1969, Sec. 4, p. 16. "...Closed Door on Defense Cuts," New York Times, 18 May 1969, Sec. 4, p. 16.

"Talking With President Thieu," New York Times, 21 May 1969, p. 46.

"Action at Apbia," New York Times, 22 May 1969, p. '46.

"Vietnam: The Critical Issue," New York Times, 25 May 1969, Sec. 4, p. 16.

"'Maximum Military Pressure'," New York Times, 28 May 1969, p. 46.

"Inhuman Stance on Prisoners," New York Times, 29 May 1969, p. 46.

"These Honored Dead," New York Times, 30 May 1969, p. 26.

"Thieu's Pressure Play," New York Times, 31 May 1969, p. \$22.

"Mr. Nixon Confuses the Issue," New York Times, 5 June 1969, p. 46.

"The Americans Depart," New York Times, 30 April 1975, p. 40.

"'Liberation'," New York Times, 1 May 1975, p. 40.

"America's Opportunity..." New York Times, 2 May 1975, p. 34.

"...and Responsibility," New York Times, 2 May 1975, p. 34.

"Toward a New Life," New York Times, 3 May 1975, p. 30.

"After Vietnam," New York Times, 4 May 1975, Sec. 4, p. 14.

-"'We Have No Choice', " New York Times, 5 May 1975, p. 30.

"Denying Our Heritage'," New York Times, 7 May 1975, p. 42.

"A-Time for Amnesty," New York Times, 8 May 1975, p. 38.

"Leftovers of War," New York Times, 8 May 1975, p. 38,

"Defense Defended," New York Times, 9 May 1975, p. 34.

"America"s Best Self," New York Times, 12 May 1975, p. 26.

"Mystery at Sea," New York Times, 13 May 1975, p. 34.

"Making Contact," New York Times, 17 May 1975, p. 26.